

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/797,230	03/10/2004	Raul Vicente Munoz	HOLMES-2	2978		
7590 06/01/2005			EXAM	. EXAMINER		
RONALD B. SHERER 103 SOUTH SHAFFER DRIVE			NGUYEN, KIEN T			
	OM, PA 17349		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3714	21112		
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/200	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<i>\</i>				
Office Action Summary		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		10/797,	230	MUNOZ ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit				
		Kien T.		3714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communor Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	9SS			
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comin period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum so the toreply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a rep tatutory minimum of thirty (will expire SIX (6) MONTh pplication to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this comn NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on						
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims			;				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the specific structure.	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is requ) be held in abeyance uired if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 10, line 2, "wind means" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 11, line 1, "said wing means" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 12, line 2, "said wings means" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification failed to particularly point out the structure components of the "means for retaining said streamers and said attachment means together prior to use of said apparatus" as set forth therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 1-8, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watkins U.S. Patent 5,354,227 in view of Schofield U.S. Patent 503,387.

Watkins disclosed in Fig. 1 a conventional streamer (10); a launch strip (16) is composed of a variety of materials such as paper, tissue paper, Mylar or the like (see column 1, lines 59-68). It is noted that Watkins failed to teach attachment means for attaching the streamer to the hand of the user as set forth in these claims. However, Schofield disclosed a toy as shown in Fig. 1 having means (b') for attaching the toy (B) to the hand of the user. Means (b') includes a ring member of size and shape such as to receive a finger of the user (page 1, lines 36-37) and it designed to the toy (B) into the air by a user. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the streamer of Watkins with the attachment means as taught by Schofield for the purpose of providing the user more control of the streamer during launching as well as retracting the steamer.

Regarding claims 4, 5, and 13, it is noted Watkins failed to specifically disclose more than one streamer and formed in a row as set forth therein. However, such difference is merely a multiplication of the same part. Accordingly, it would have been a matter of design choice to modify the streamer of Watkins with more than one streamer more than one row for the purpose of increasing the amount of streamer being launched into the air.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kien T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4428. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kien T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3714

Ktn